# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/716,968	11/19/2003	Thomas Arnold Anschutz	9400-51	· 7551
39072 7590 06/27/2007 MYERS BIGEL SIBLEY & SAJOVEC, P.A.			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 37428		•••	KEEFER, MICHAEL E	
RALEIGH, NO	27627		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		. 2154	-
			. MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•	•	06/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/716,968	ANSCHUTZ ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Michael E. Keefer	2154			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed on the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
•	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 No	ovember 2003				
· <u> </u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>19 November 2003</u> .  This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5) <u></u> 6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-40 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 April 2004</u> is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☑ accepted or b) ☑ objected t drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S ion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicative documents have been received in Received in Received in (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage			
2) Notice	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/26/2004</u> .	4)  Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5)  Notice of Informa 6)  Other:	Date			

#### DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is responsive to the Application filed 11/19/2003.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

> Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 11-20 and 31-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Regarding claim 11, which is directed to a system for managing QoS in a RAN. The means for receiving, and both means for updating can be entirely software as stated in applicant's specification. This subject matter is not limited to that which falls within a statutory category of invention because it is not limited to a process, machine, manufacture, or a composition of matter. Instead, it includes functional descriptive material. Functional descriptive material does not fall within a statutory category since it is clearly not a series of steps or acts to constitute a process, not a mechanical device or combination of mechanical devices to constitute a machine, not a tangible physical article or object which is some form of matter to be a product and constitute a manufacture, and not a composition of two or more substances to constitute a composition of matter.

Claims 12-20, which depend from claim 11 are rejected for the same.

Regarding claim 31, which is directed to a computer program product comprising a computer readable medium, the "computer readable medium," in accordance with Applicant's specification, may be carrier waves. This subject matter is not limited to that

Application/Control Number: 10/716,968 Page 3

Art Unit: 2154

which falls within a statutory category of invention because it is not limited to a process, machine, manufacture, or a composition of matter. Instead, it includes a form of energy. Energy does not fall within a statutory category since it is clearly not a series of steps or acts to constitute a process, not a mechanical device or combination of mechanical devices to constitute a machine, not a tangible physical article or object which is some form of matter to be a product and constitute a manufacture, and not a composition of two or more substances to constitute a composition of matter.

In addition, the "computer readable medium" may merely be a piece of paper with computer code printed upon it as stated in Applicant's disclosure. This subject matter is not limited to that which falls within a statutory category of invention because it is not limited to a process, machine, manufacture, or a composition of matter. Instead, it includes functional descriptive material. Functional descriptive material does not fall within a statutory category since it is clearly not a series of steps or acts to constitute a process, not a mechanical device or combination of mechanical devices to constitute a machine, not a tangible physical article or object which is some form of matter to be a product and constitute a manufacture, and not a composition of two or more substances to constitute a composition of matter.

Claims 32-40, which depend from claim 31 do not correct the deficiencies of claim 31 and thus are rejected for the same.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2154

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

4. Claims 1-5, 10-15, 20-25, 31-35, and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by DSL Forum "DSL Evolution - Architecture Requirements for the Support of QoS-Enabled IP Services" (WT-081, Rev4, December 2002), hereafter DSL Forum.

Regarding claims 1, 11, 21, and 31, DSL Forum discloses:

A method of managing Quality of Service (QoS) and/or bandwidth allocation in a Regional/Access Network (RAN) having a broadband access server (BRAS) that facilitates differentiated end-to-end data transport between a Network Service Provider (NSP) and/or an Application Service Provider (ASP), and a Customer Premises Network (CPN) that includes a Routing Gateway (RG), comprising: (Fig. 19 discloses this network architecture, as well as the Figure at the bottom of page 28)

receiving at the RAN, a modify QoS and/or bandwidth allocation message including updated QoS and/or bandwidth information from the NSP and/or ASP; (page 30, "Applications ... request service or resources of the RAN...")

updating the BRAS with the QoS and/or bandwidth information (page 3' discloses that the BRAS maps reservation requests into Diffserv PHBs.); and

sending updated QoS and/or bandwidth information to the RG. (Page 3' discloses that the CPE (aka RG) accepts policy information regarding how to manage resources from an external entity (i.e. the BRAS))

Art Unit: 2154

Regarding claims 2, 12, 22, and 32 and as applied to claims 1, 11, 21, and 31, DSL Forum discloses:

The bandwidth allocation message includes information for a point-to-point session. (Page 30 discloses the use of RSVP, which is a point-to-point reservation protocol.)

Regarding claims 3, 13, 23, and 33 and as applied to claims 1, 11, 21, and 31, DSL Forum discloses:

The bandwidth allocation message includes information for an application flow. (Page 30 discloses the use of RSVP, which is a used to reserve resources for an application flow.)

Regarding claims 4, 14, 24, and 34 and as applied to claims 1, 11, 21, and 31, DSL Forum discloses:

An acknowledgement that resources were successfully reserved. (Page 30 discloses the use of RSVP which inherently has an acknowledgement indicating that the reservation was successful.)

Regarding claims 5, 15, 25, and 35 and as applied to claims 1, 11, 21, and 31, DSL Forum discloses:

wherein the RAN further includes an Application Network Interface (ANI) protocol handler (note the black lines labeled "A10-ASP" and "A10-NSP" in Fig. 20)

, a DSL Service Manager (Policy Server, fig. 20), and a User Network Interface (UNI) protocol handler (Vertical line "U", Fig. 20); and

wherein receiving at the RAN, a modify QoS and/or bandwidth allocation message including updated QoS and/or bandwidth information from the NSP and/or ASP comprises

receiving at the ANI protocol handler an update application flow control information message and/or a change session bandwidth request from the ASP.

(An ANI in the network path between the NSP/ASP is disclosed in Figure 20, note the black lines labeled "A10-ASP" and "A10-NSP")

Regarding claims 10, 20, and 40 and as applied to claims 5, 15, 35, 1, 11, and 31, DSL Forum discloses:

The bandwidth allocation message includes information for a point-to-point session. (Page 30 discloses the use of RSVP, which is a point-to-point reservation protocol.)

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chawia et al. (US 6876668), hereafter Chawia in view of Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (Fig. 4).

Regarding claims 1, 11, 21, and 31, Chawia discloses:

receiving at the RAN, a modify QoS and/or bandwidth allocation message including updated QoS and/or bandwidth information from the NSP and/or ASP; (Col. 13 lines 11-30 disclose sending a request for a modification in bandwidth) updating the BRAS with the QoS and/or bandwidth information (Col. 13 lines 31-50 disclose updating information within the network elements (i.e. a BRAS as disclosed in Col. 11 lines 47-67); and

sending updated QoS and/or bandwidth information to the RG. (Col. 13 lines 31-50 disclose that the request for increased bandwidth is transmitted to each network element in the path)

Regarding claims 2, 12, 22, and 32, Chawia discloses:

The bandwidth allocation message includes information for a point-to-point session. (Chawia discloses the use of RSVP, which is a point-to-point reservation protocol.)

Regarding claims 3, 13, 23, and 33, Chawia discloses:

The bandwidth allocation message includes information for an application flow. (Chawia discloses the use of RSVP, which is a used to reserve resources for an application flow.)

Regarding claims 4, 14, 24, and 34, Chawia discloses:

An acknowledgement that resources were successfully reserved. (Chawia discloses the use of RSVP which inherently has an acknowledgement indicating that the reservation was successful.)

Regarding claims 5, 15, 25, and 35, Chawia discloses:

Application/Control Number: 10/716,968

Art Unit: 2154

a DSL Service Manager (Network Policy Server 150 in Fig. 3)

Regarding claims 6, 16, 26, and 36, Chawia discloses:

Sending the update information to the DSL service manager, then the DSL service manager sending the update information to the BRAS. (Col. 12 lines 35-40 disclose the Network Policy server forwarding the update information to the network nodes (i.e. BRAS))

Regarding claims 7, 17, 27, and 37, Chawia discloses:

The DSL service managed verfies authorization of the modification request and updates a local respository with the information. (See Fig. 4, since the network policy server can update the quality node's information itself, it must inherently verify that the bandwidth meets criteria, or else the system would fail to function, likewise, it is inherent that the policy server must also know the current amount of bandwidth that is currently being provisioned in order to know if it is safe to provision further bandwidth.)

Regarding claims 9, 19, 29, and 39, Chawia discloses:

receiving at the UNI protocol handler an acknowledgment of receipt of the QoS and/or bandwidth information by the RG; (sending acknowledgements of success in RSVP is inherent in the protocol.)

sending an acknowledgment from the UNI protocol handler to the DSL service manager responsive to receiving the acknowledgment of receipt at the UNI protocol handler; (sending acknowledgements of success in RSVP is inherent in the protocol.) and

Application/Control Number: 10/716,968

Art Unit: 2154

sending a response message to the ASP from the DSL manager via the ANI protocol handler. (sending acknowledgements of success in RSVP is inherent in the protocol.)

Regarding claims 10, 20, 30, and 40, Chawia discloses:

wherein the QoS and/or bandwidth information comprises point-to-point protocol session QoS and/or bandwidth information. (Chawia discloses the use of RSVP, which is a point-to-point reservation protocol.)

Chawia does not specifically disclose:

a Regional/Access Network (RAN) having a broadband access server (BRAS) that facilitates differentiated end-to-end data transport between a Network Service Provider (NSP) and/or an Application Service Provider (ASP), and a Customer Premises Network (CPN) that includes a Routing Gateway (CPE)

and wherein the RAN further includes an Application Network Interface

(ANI) protocol handler, and a User Network Interface (UNI) protocol handler;

receiving at the ANI protocol handler an update application flow control information message and/or a change session bandwidth request from the ASP.

Wherein sending bandwidth information to the RG comprises:

sending the QoS and/or bandwidth information from the DSL service manager to the UNI protocol handler; and sending the QoS and/or bandwidth information from the UNI protocol handler to the RG.

Applicant's Admitted Prior Art Teaches:

Application/Control Number: 10/716,968

Art Unit: 2154

a Regional/Access Network (RAN) having a broadband access server (BRAS) that facilitates differentiated end-to-end data transport between a Network Service Provider (NSP) and/or an Application Service Provider (ASP), and a Customer Premises Network (CPN) that includes a Routing Gateway (CPE) (See Fig. 4)

and wherein the RAN further includes an Application Network Interface (ANI) protocol handler (Fig. 4, A10-NSP), and a User Network Interface (UNI) protocol handler (Fig. 4, "U");

receiving at the ANI protocol handler an update application flow control information message and/or a change session bandwidth request from the ASP. (An ANI in the network path between the NSP/ASP is disclosed in Figure 4, note the black lines labeled "A10-ASP" and "A10-NSP", therefore inherently the request going into the RAN must pass through the ANI)

Wherein sending bandwidth information to the RG comprises:

sending the QoS and/or bandwidth information from the DSL service manager to the UNI protocol handler; and sending the QoS and/or bandwidth information from the UNI protocol handler to the RG. (it is inherent in figure 4 that traffic moving from the Policy server through the BRAS to the CPE must go through the U protocol line)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Chawia with the teachings of Applicant's Admitted Prior Art in

Art Unit: 2154

order to allow devices to perform bandwidth adjustments without disturbing the flow or sessions of data communication. (Col. 10, lines 63-64)

#### Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Sen et al. (US 6845389) discloses a system and method for communication sessions with QoS parameters through access networks.

Angel et al. (US 2004/0044789) discloses the aggregation of PPP sessions (i.e. a BRAS) having a dynamic quality of service mechanism.

Cobb, "Preserving Quality of Service Guarantees in Spite of Flow Aggregation" teaches a method for preserving quality of service when multiple flows are concentrated or aggregated together.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael E. Keefer whose telephone number is (571) 270-1591. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7am-4:30pm, second Fridays 7am-4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Flynn can be reached on (571) 272-1915. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/716,968 Page 12

Art Unit: 2154

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-91930 (No. 1947) (1947) or 571-272-1000.

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 21/00

MEK 6/22/2007